arXiv:1507.02903v1 [math.AG] 10 Jul 2015

ISOGENOUS DECOMPOSITION OF THE JACOBIAN OF GENERALIZED FERMAT CURVES

MARIELA CARVACHO, RUBÉN A. HIDALGO AND SAÚL QUISPE

Abstract. A closed Riemann surface S is called a generalized Fermat curve of type (p,n), where $p,n \geq 2$ are integers, if it admits a group $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ of conformal automorphisms so that S/H is an orbifold of genus zero with exactly $n+1$ cone points, each one of order p. It is known that S is a fiber product of $(n-1)$ classical Fermat curves of degree p and, for $(p-1)(n-1) > 2$, that it is a non-hyperelliptic Riemann surface. In this paper, assuming p to be a prime integer, we provide a decomposition, up to isogeny, of the Jacobian variety JS as a product of Jacobian varieties of certain cyclic p-gonal curves. Explicit equations for these p-gonal curves are provided in terms of the equations for S. As a consequence of this decomposition, we are able to provide explicit positive-dimensional families of closed Riemann surfaces whose Jacobian variety is isogenous to the product of elliptic curves.

1. Introduction

A principally polarized abelian variety A is simple if there are no lower dimensional principally polarized abelian varieties B and C so that A is isogenous to the product $B \times C$. In the case that A is non-simple, Poincaré's complete reducibility theorem [12] asserts the existence of simple principally polarized abelian varieties $A_1,\ldots,A_s$ and positive integers $n_1,\ldots,n_s$ such that A is isogenous to the product $A_1^{n_1} \times \cdots A_s^{n_s}$. Moreover, the A_j and n_j are unique (up to isogeny) and permutation of the factors (see Section 2.2).

A very interesting class of principally polarized abelian varieties are the Jacobian variety JS of a closed Riemann surface S of genus $g \geq 1$ (see Section 2.3). We say that JS is Jacobian-simple if it is non-isogenous to a non-trivial product of Jacobian varieties of of lower genera surfaces; otherwise we say that it is Jacobian-non-simple. It may be that JS is Jacobian-simple but non-simple as a polarized abelian variety; of course, if it is simple then it is also Jacobian-simple. Given S, one would like to know if it is Jacobian-simple or not. It is clear that JS is Jacobian-simple for $g=1$. If $g=2$, then JS is simple if and only if it is Jacobian-simple. As every principally polarized abelian variety of dimension two is either a Jacobian or isogenous to the product of two elliptics, then for $g=3$ again JS is simple if and only if it is Jacobian-simple. The two properties may differ for $g \geq 4$.

In this paper we are interested in decomposing, up to isogeny, the Jacobian variety of a closed Riemann surface as product of Jacobian varieties of lower genera Riemann surfaces (this is a first approach to finding a Jacobian decomposition of a Jacobian variety).

With respect to the above, Ekedahl and Serre [4] constructed examples of closed Riemann surfaces S for which JS is isogenous to the product of elliptic curves. These examples are for genus $g \leq 1297$ with some gaps. More examples of Riemann surfaces S for which JS is isogenous to the product of elliptic curves have been produced by many authors, see for instance [2, 8, 16, 17, 18]. Two fundamental question, stated by Ekedahl and Serre in [4] are the following. *Q1* Is there a Riemann surface S in every genus $g \geq 2$ such that JS is isogenous to the product of elliptic curves? *Q2* Is there a bound on the genus g with the above decomposition property?. To the actual acknowledgment of the authors, both of these questions are still open.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30F10, 30F40.

Key words and phrases. Riemann surfaces, Algebraic curves, Jacobians varieties, Automorphisms.

Partially supported by projects Fondecyt 1150003 and 3140050.

In the case of hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces with a conformal automorphism of order two, different from the hyperelliptic involution, it is known that the Jacobian variety decomposes, up to isogeny, as the product of two Jacobian varieties. We recall this situation in Section 3 and we provide a two-dimensional family of genus four hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces whose Jacobian variety is isogenous to the product of four elliptic curves (explicit equations are provided).

Next, we restrict to a certain interesting families of Riemann surfaces called generalized Fermat curves of type (p,n), where $p,n \geq 2$ are integers (see Section 2.1 for details). These surfaces S can be described as a suitable fiber product of $(n-1)$ classical Fermat curves of degree p and, for $(n-1)(p-1) > 2$, they are non-hyperelliptic and they admit a unique group of automorphisms $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ with $S/$ of genus zero and exactly $(n+1)$ cone points; each one of order p.

Our main result is Theorem 4.4 which, in the case that p is a prime integer, provides an isogenous decomposition of the Jacobian variety of generalized Fermat curves of type (p,n) as a product of Jacobians of certain cyclic p-gonal curves. The equations of these p-gonal curves are given explicitly in terms of the equations of the corresponding generalized Fermat curve. Some consequences of the isogenous Jacobian decomposition are the following.

1. The Jacobian variety of any closed Riemann surface of genus 5 admitting $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$ as a group of conformal automorphisms (a two-dimensional family) is isogenous to the product of elliptic curves.
2. We obtain a one-dimensional family of closed Riemann surfaces of genus 17 whose Jacobian varieties are isogenous to the product of elliptic curves.
3. We provide an example of a closed Riemann surface of genus 49 whose Jacobian variety is isogenous to the product of elliptic curves.
4. The Jacobian variety of every closed Riemann surface of genus 10 admitting a group $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^3$ as a group of conformal automorphisms whose quotient orbifold S/H is the Riemann sphere with exactly three cone points, each one of order three, (a one-dimensional family) is isogenous to the product of elliptic curves.
5. The Jacobian variety of every closed Riemann surface of genus 65 admitting a group $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^4$ as a group of conformal automorphisms with S/H being of genus zero and having exactly five cone points, each one of order five, (a two-dimensional family) is isogenous to the product of 40 elliptic curves and five 5-dimensional Jacobians.

The facts (1) and (2), for the particular case that $p = 2$ and the curves are defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, were obtained by Yamauchi in [17] (in our result we have not such an arithmetical restriction). Our results are also related to those obtained by Shaska in [2].

Finally, we should mention the survey article by Rodríguez [14] in which the author review part of the theory of abelian varieties with group actions and the decomposition of them up to isogeny. Somewhere else we will try to describe our decomposition results for generalized Fermat curves in their language.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some definitions and previous results we will need in the rest of the paper.

If S denotes a closed Riemann surface, then $\mathrm{Aut}(S)$ will denote its full group of conformal automorphisms. If $H < \mathrm{Aut}(S)$, then we denote by $\mathrm{Aut}_H(S)$ the normalizer of H inside $\mathrm{Aut}(S)$, that is, the biggest subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(S)$ containing H as normal subgroup.

2.1. Generalized Fermat curves.

Let S be a generalized Fermat curve of type (p,n). A group $H < \mathrm{Aut}(S)$, $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, so that the quotient orbifold S/H has genus zero and exactly $n+1$ cone points of order p, is called a generalized Fermat group of type (p,n) for S; the pair (S,H) is called a generalized Fermat pair of type (p,n). As a consequence of the Riemnn-Hurwitz formula, a generalized Fermat curve of type (p,n) has genus

$$g = g_{p,n} = 1 + \frac{\phi(p,n)}{2}, \quad \text{where} \quad \phi(p,n) = p^{n-1}((n-1)p - n - 1),$$

We say that two generalized Fermat pairs (S_1, H_1) and (S_2, H_2) are topologically (holomorphically) equivalent if there is some orientation-preserving homeomorphism (holomorphic homeomorphism) $f : S_1 \to S_2$ so that $f H_1 f^{-1} = H_2$.

Theorem 2.1 ([5]). *Any two generalized Fermat pairs of type (p, n) are topologically equivalent.*

Theorem 2.2 ([5]). *If p is a prime integer, then a generalized Fermat curve of type (p, n) has a unique, up to conjugation, generalized Fermat group of the same type (p, n).*

Theorem 2.3 ([5]). *Let (S, H) be a generalized Fermat pair of type (p, n) and let $P : S \to S/H$ be a branched regular covering with H as group of cover transformations.*

1.- *If $\mathrm{Aut}_H(S)$ denotes the normalizer of H inside $\mathrm{Aut}(S)$, then each orbifold automorphism of S/H lifts to an automorphism in $\mathrm{Aut}_H(S)$; that is, for each orbifold automorphism $\tau : S/H \to S/H$ there is a conformal automorphism $\widehat{\tau} : S \to S$ so that $P\widehat{\tau} = \tau P$.*

2.- *There exist elements of order p in H, say $a_1, \ldots, a_n$, so that the following hold.*

  (i) $H = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$.
  (ii) *Each $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ and $a_{n+1} = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ has exactly p^{n-1} fixed points.*
  (iii) *If $h \in H$ has fixed points, then $h \in \langle a_1 \rangle \cup \cdots \langle a_n \rangle \cup \langle a_{n+1} \rangle$.*
  (iv) *If $h \in H$ is an element of order p with fixed points and x, y are any two of these fixed points, then there is some $h^* \in H$ so that $h^*(x) = y$.*
  (v) *If p is a prime integer and $h \in H - \{I\}$ has no fixed points, then no non-trivial power of h has fixed points.*

  Such a set of generators a_1,..., a_n shall be called a standard set of generators for the generalized Fermat group H.

Remark 2.4. In [6] it has been proved that every generalized Fermat curve S of type (p, n), where $(n-1)(p-1) > 2$, has a unique generalized Fermat group H of that type. In particular, $\mathrm{Aut}_H(S) = \mathrm{Aut}(S)$.

2.1.1. *Non-hyperbolic generalized Fermat curves.* The generalized Fermat curves of genus $g < 2$ are the following ones.

(i) $(p, n) = (2, 2)$: $S = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and $H = \langle A(z) = -z, B(z) = 1/z \rangle$.

(ii) $(p, n) = (3, 2)$: $S = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_{e^{2\pi i/3}}$, where $\Lambda_{e^{2\pi i/3}} = \langle A(z) = z + 1, B(z) = z + e^{2\pi i/3} \rangle$, and H is generated by the induced transformations of $R(z) = e^{2\pi i/3} z$ and $T(z) = z + (2 + e^{2\pi i/3})/3$. In this case, the 3 cyclic groups $\langle R \rangle$, $\langle TR \rangle$ and $\langle T^2 R \rangle$ project to the only 3 cyclic subgroups in H with fixed points; 3 fixed points each one. This is provided by the degree 3 Fermat curve $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 0$.

(iii) $(p, n) = (2, 3)$: $S = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda_\tau$, where $\tau \in \mathbb{H}^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$, $\Lambda_\tau = \langle A(z) = z + 1, B(z) = z + \tau \rangle$, and H is generated by the induced transformations from $T_1(z) = -z$, $T_2(z) = -z + 1/2$ and $T_3(z) = -z + \tau/2$. In this case, the conformal involutions induced on the torus by T_1, T_2, T_3 and their product are the only ones acting with fixed points; 4 fixed points each. This is also described by the algebraic curve $\{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0,\ \lambda x^2 + y^2 + w^2 = 0\}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$.

2.1.2. *Hyperbolic generalized Fermat curves.* A generalized Fermat curve of type (p, n) has genus bigger than one if and only if $(n-1)(p-1) > 2$; we say that the generalized Fermat pair (curve) is *hyperbolic*.

Next result asserts that hyperbolic generalized Fermat curves are non-hyperelliptic (but Remark 2.4 asserts that they behave somehow as if they were hyperelliptic ones).

Theorem 2.5 ([5]). *A hyperbolic generalized Fermat curve is non-hyperelliptic.*

2.1.3. *Algebraic description.* Let (S, H) be a generalized Fermat pair of type (p, n) and, up to a Möbius transformation, let $\{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}\}$ be the conical points of S/H.

Let us consider the following fiber product of $(n-1)$ classical Fermat curves

$$C^p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}) : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} x_1^p + x_2^p + x_3^p & = & 0 \\ \lambda_1 x_1^p + x_2^p + x_4^p & = & 0 \\ \lambda_2 x_1^p + x_2^p + x_5^p & = & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{n-2} x_1^p + x_2^p + x_{n+1}^p & = & 0 \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n.$$

The conditions on the parameters λ_j ensure that $C^p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2})$ is a non-singular projective algebraic curve, that is, a closed Riemann surface. On $C^p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2})$ we have the Abelian group $H_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ of conformal automorphisms generated by the transformations

$$a_j([x_1 : \cdots : x_{n+1}]) = [x_1 : \cdots : x_{j-1} : \omega_p x_j : x_{j+1} : \cdots : x_{n+1}], \; j = 1, ..., n,$$

where $\omega_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$.

If we consider the degree p^n holomorphic map

$$\pi : C^p(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{n-2}) \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$$

given by

$$\pi([x_1 : \cdots : x_{n+1}]) = -\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^p,$$

then $\pi \circ a_j = \pi$, for every a_j, $j = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that $C^p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2})$ is a generalized Fermat curve with generalized Fermat group H_0; whose standard generators are $a_1, \dots, a_n$ and $a_{n+1} = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$.

The fixed points of a_j on $C^p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2})$ are given by the intersection

$$Fix(a_j) = \{x_j = 0\} \cap C^p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}).$$

In this way, the branch values of π are given by the points

$$\pi(Fix(a_1)) = \infty, \; \pi(Fix(a_2)) = 0, \; \pi(Fix(a_3)) = 1,$$
$$\pi(Fix(a_4)) = \lambda_1, \dots, \; \pi(Fix(a_{n+1})) = \lambda_{n-2}.$$

Theorem 2.6 ([5]). *Within the above notations the following hold.*

1. *The generalized Fermat pairs (S, H) and $(C^p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}), H_0)$ are holomorphically equivalent.*
2. $\mathrm{Aut}_{H_0}(C^p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}))/H_0$ *is isomorphic to the subgroup of Möbius transformations that preserves the finite set*
$$\{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}\}.$$
3. $\mathrm{Aut}_{H_0}(C^p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2})) < PGL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$.

Remark 2.7. Recall from Remark 2.4 that $\mathrm{Aut}_{H_0}(C^p(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{n-2})) = \mathrm{Aut}(C^p(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{n-2}))$.

2.2. **Principally polarized abelian varieties.** A *principally polarized abelian variety* of dimension $g \geq 1$ is a pair $A = (T, Q)$, where $T = \mathbb{C}^g/L$ is a complex torus of dimension g and Q (called a *principal polarization* of A) is a positive-definite Hermitian product in $\mathbb{C}^g$ whose imaginary part $\mathrm{Im}(Q)$ has integral values over elements of the lattice L and such that there is basis of L for which $\mathrm{Im}(Q)$ can be represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0_g & I_g \\ -I_g & 0_g \end{pmatrix}$$

Two principally polarized abelian varieties A_1 and A_2 are called *isogenous* if there is a non-constant surjective morphism $h : A_1 \to A_2$ (between the corresponding tori) with finite kernel; in this case h is called an *isogeny* (an isomorphism if the kernel is trivial)

A principally polarized abelian variety A is called *decomposable* if it is isogenous to the product of abelian varieties of smaller dimensions (otherwise, it is said to be *simple*). It is called *completely decompossable* if it is the product of elliptic curves (varieties of dimension 1).

Theorem 2.8 (Poincaré's complete reducibility theorem [12]). *If A is a principally polarized abelian variety, then there exist simple polarized abelian varieties $A_1,\dots,A_s$ and positive integers $n_1,\dots,n_s$ such that A is isogenous to the product $A_1^{n_1} \times \cdots A_s^{n_s}$. Moreover, the A_j and n_j are unique (up to isogeny) and permutation of the factors.*

We should restrict to certain small locus of principally polarized abelian varieties coming from closed Riemann surfaces.

2.3. **The Jacobian variety.** Let S be a closed Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$. Its first homology group $H_1(S,\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic, as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, to $\mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ and the complex vector space $H^{1,0}(S)$ of its holomorphic 1-forms is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^g$. There is a natural injective map

$$\iota : H_1(S,\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \left(H^{1,0}(S)\right)^* \quad \text{(the dual space of } H^{1,0}(S))$$

$$\alpha \mapsto \int_\alpha .$$

The image $\iota(H_1(S,\mathbb{Z}))$ is a lattice in $\left(H^{1,0}(S)\right)^*$ and the quotient g-dimensional torus

$$JS = \left(H^{1,0}(S)\right)^* / \iota(H_1(S,\mathbb{Z}))$$

is called the Jacobian variety of S. The intersection product form in $H_1(S,\mathbb{Z})$ induces a principal polarization on JS.

If we fix a point $p_0 \in S$, then there is a natural holomorphic embedding

$$\rho_{p_0} : S \to JS$$

defined by $\rho(p) = \int_\alpha$, where $\alpha \subset S$ is an arc connecting p_0 with p.

If we choose a symplectic homology basis for S, say $\{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_g,\beta_1,\dots,\beta_g\}$ (i.e. a basis for $H_1(S,\mathbb{Z})$ such that the intersection products $\alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j = \beta_i \cdot \beta_j = 0$ and $\alpha_i \cdot \beta_j = \delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function), we may find a dual basis $\{\omega_1,\dots,\omega_g\}$ (i.e. a basis of $H^{1,0}(S)$ such that $\int_{\alpha_i} \omega_j = \delta_{ij}$). We may consider the Riemann matrix

$$Z = \left(\int_{\beta_j} \omega_i\right)_{g\times g} \in \mathfrak{H}_g.$$

If we now consider the Riemann period matrix $\Omega = (I\ Z)_{g\times 2g}$, then its $2g$ columns define a lattice in $\mathbb{C}^g$. The quotient torus $\mathbb{C}^g/\Omega$ is isomorphic to JS.

2.4. **Holomorphic maps and the Jacobian variety.** Let $f : S \to R$ be a non-constant holomorphic map between closed Riemann surfaces, both of genus at least 1. There are natural induced maps

$$H_1(f) : H_1(S,\mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(R,\mathbb{Z})$$

$$H^{1,0}(f) : H^{1,0}(R) \to H^{1,0}(S) \quad \text{(pull-back of forms)}$$

which together (we use the dual map of the last) permit to define a non-constant surjective morphism

$$J(f) : JS \to JR$$

such that, if $p_0 \in S$, then

$$J(f) \circ \rho_{p_0} = \rho_{f(p_0)} \circ f.$$

The connected component of the kernel of $J(f)$ containing 0 is called the Prym sub-variety of JS with respect to f.

2.5. **Kani-Rosen's decomposition theorem.** As a consequence of Poincaré complete reducibility theorem, the jacobian variety of a closed Riemann surface can be decomposed, up to isogeny, into a product of simple sub-varieties. To obtain such a decomposition is not an easy job, but there are general results which permit to work in this direction.

The following result, due to Kani and Rosen [7], provides sufficient conditions for the Jacobian variety of a closed Riemann surface to decompose into the product of the Jacobian varieties of suitable quotient Riemann surfaces. If $K < \mathrm{Aut}(S)$, we denote by g_K the genus of the quotient orbifold S/K and by S_K the underlying Riemann surface structure of the orbifold S/K.

Theorem 2.9 (Kani-Rosen's decomposition theorem [7]). *Let S be a closed Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$ and let $H_1, \ldots, H_s < \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ such that:*

1. *$H_iH_j = H_jH_i$, for all $i, j = 1, \ldots, s$;*
2. *there are integers $n_1, \ldots, n_s$ satisfying that*
   - (a) *$\sum_{i,j=1}^{s} n_in_jg_{H_iH_j} = 0$, and*
   - (b) *for every $i = 1, \ldots, s$, it also holds that $\sum_{j=1}^{s} n_jg_{H_iH_j} = 0$.*

Then

$$\prod_{n_i>0} (JS_{H_i})^{n_i} \cong_{isog.} \prod_{n_j<0} \left(JS_{H_j}\right)^{-n_j}.$$

If in the above theorem we set $H_s = \{1\}$, $n_1 = \cdots = n_{s-1} = -1$ and $n_s = 1$, then one has the following consequence that we will use for our family of generalized Fermat curves.

Corollary 2.10 ([7]). *Let S be a closed Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$ and let $H_1, \ldots, H_t < \mathrm{Aut}(S)$ such that:*

1. *$H_iH_j = H_jH_i$, for all $i, j = 1, \ldots, t$;*
2. *$g_{H_iH_j} = 0$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq t$*
3. *$g = \sum_{j=1}^{t} g_{H_j}$.*

Then

$$JS \cong_{isog.} \prod_{j=1}^{t} JS_{H_j}.$$

3. A simple (well known) application to hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces

In this section we describe (some of them well known) results on the decomposition of the jacobian variety of hyperelliptic Riemann surface with automorphisms of order two different from the hyperelliptic involution, as an application of Corollary 2.10, and we provide some examples whose Jacobian variety decomposes as product of elliptic curves (in genera two and four).

3.1. A decomposition for hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces with an extra involution.

Corollary 3.1. *Let S be a hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$, with hyperelliptic involution ι, admitting an extra conformal involution τ.*

1. *There are values $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_g \in \mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$, with $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for $i \neq j$, such that S can be described by the hyperelliptic curve*

$$C : y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x + \frac{(1-\mu_1)^2}{4\mu_1}\right)\prod_{j=2}^{g}\left(x^2 - \frac{(1-\mu_1)(\mu_j^2+\mu_1)}{\mu_j^2-\mu_1^2}x + \frac{(1-\mu_1)^2(\mu_j^2-1)}{4(\mu_j^2-\mu_1^2)}\right), \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\mu_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{2\gamma}}{\lambda_{2\gamma-1}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2\gamma-1}-1}{\lambda_{2\gamma}-1}\right)}, \quad \mu_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{2\gamma-1}-1}{\lambda_{2\gamma}-1}},$$

$$\mu_j = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\lambda_{2\gamma-1}-1}{\lambda_{2\gamma}-1}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda_{j-2}-\lambda_{2\gamma}}{\lambda_{j-2}-\lambda_{2\gamma-1}}\right)}, \quad j = 3, \ldots, g.$$

Observe that, in this model of C one has that $\iota(x,y) = (x,-y)$ and

$$\tau(x,y) =$$

$$\left(\frac{(\mu_1-1)^2(1-x)}{(4\mu_1 x+(\mu_1-1)^2}, y\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\mu_1}(1-\mu_1^2)^2}{(4\mu_1 x+(1-\mu_1)^2)^{g+1}}\right)\prod_{j=2}^{g}\frac{(1-\mu_1)}{\sqrt{\mu_j^2-\mu_1^2}}\sqrt{\left((\mu_j^2-\mu_1^2)(1-\mu_1)^2+4\mu_1^2(1-\mu_j^2)+4\mu_1(1-\mu_1)(\mu_1+\mu_j^2)\right)}\right).$$

2. *If $g = 2\gamma$, then, in this model, the underlying hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces of the orbifolds $S/\langle\tau\rangle$ and $S/\langle\tau\iota\rangle$ are respectively described by the curves*

$$C_1 : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda_g)\prod_{j=1}^{g-2}(x-\lambda_j),$$

$$C_2 : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda_{g-1})\prod_{j=1}^{g-2}(x-\lambda_j).$$

3. *If $g = 2\gamma + 1$, then, in this model, the underlying hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces of the orbifolds $S/\langle\tau\rangle$ and $S/\langle\tau\iota\rangle$ are respectively described by the curves*

$$C_1 : y^2 = x(x-1)\prod_{j=1}^{g-2}(x-\lambda_j),$$

$$C_2 : y^2 = x(x-1)\prod_{j=1}^{g}(x-\lambda_j).$$

4. *$JS \cong_{isog.} JC_1 \times JC_2$.*
5. *S is the fiber product of C_1 and C_2, where in each case we use the natural projection $(x,y) \mapsto x$.*

Proof. Let S_{τ} (respectively, $S_{\iota\tau}$) the underlying Riemann surface structure of the orbifold $S/\langle\tau\rangle$ (respectively, $S/\langle\iota\tau\rangle$). Take $H_1 = \langle\tau\rangle$ and $H_2 = \langle\iota\tau\rangle$. Since $H_1H_2 = \langle\iota,\tau\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2$, S/H_1H_2 has genus zero and S/H_i has genus γ. It follows from Corollary 2.10 that $JS \cong_{isog.} JS_{\tau} \times JS_{\iota\tau}$; providing part (4) of the theorem. Part (5) is almost clear.

We only provide the proof for part (1) and (2) for the case that $g = 2\gamma$ as for the other the arguments are similar. Let us now construct algebraic curve representations for S, S_{τ} and $S_{\iota\tau}$ as desired.

It is well known that the hyperelliptic Riemann surface S can be described by a hyperelliptic curve of the form

$$C_0 : y^2 = (x^2-1)\prod_{j=1}^{2\gamma}(x^2-\mu_j^2),$$

where $\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_{2\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}-\{0,\pm 1\}$ and, for $i \neq j$, $\mu_i^2 \neq \mu_j^2$. In this algebraic model,

$$\iota(x,y) = (x,-y), \quad \tau(x,y) = (-x,y),$$

the regular branched two-cover defined by the hyperelliptic involution is given by

$$\pi(x,y) = x$$

and the induced involution, under π, by τ is

$$\widetilde{\tau}(x) = -x.$$

If we consider the Möbius transformation

$$T(x) = \left(\frac{1-\mu_1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{x+1}{x-\mu_1}\right),$$

then, since

$$T(1) = 1,\ T(-1) = 0,\ T(\mu_1) = \infty,\ T(-\mu_1) = -\frac{(1-\mu_1)^2}{4\mu_1},$$

and for $j = 2,\ldots,2\gamma$,

$$T(\mu_j) = \left(\frac{1-\mu_1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\mu_j+1}{\mu_j-\mu_1}\right),\ T(-\mu_j) = \left(\frac{1-\mu_1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\mu_j-1}{\mu_j+\mu_1}\right),$$

S can be described by the hyperelliptic curve (3.1).

A regular branched two-cover $P : C_0 \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, induced by $\widetilde{\tau}$, is given by

$$P(x) = \left(\frac{1-\mu_2^2}{1-\mu_1^2}\right)\left(\frac{x^2-\mu_1^2}{x^2-\mu_2^2}\right).$$

We have chosen P so that $P(\pm 1) = 1$, $P(\pm\mu_1) = 0$ and $P(\pm\mu_2) = \infty$.

Let us set, for $j = 3,\ldots,2\gamma$,

$$\lambda_{j-2} = P(\pm\mu_j) = \left(\frac{1-\mu_2^2}{1-\mu_1^2}\right)\left(\frac{\mu_j^2-\mu_1^2}{\mu_j^2-\mu_2^2}\right),$$

and

$$\lambda_{2\gamma-1} = P(\infty) = \left(\frac{1-\mu_2^2}{1-\mu_1^2}\right), \quad \lambda_{2\gamma} = P(0) = \frac{\mu_1^2}{\mu_2^2}\left(\frac{1-\mu_2^2}{1-\mu_1^2}\right).$$

The underlying hyperelliptic Riemann surface of the orbifold $S/\langle\tau\rangle$ is described by the hyperelliptic curve

$$C_1 : y^2 = x(x-1)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{2\gamma-2}(x-\lambda_j)\right)(x-\lambda_{2\gamma})$$

and the underlying hyperelliptic Riemann surface of the orbifold $S/\langle \tau\iota\rangle$ is described by the hyperelliptic curve

$$C_2 : y^2 = x(x-1)\left(\prod_{j=1}^{2\gamma-2}(x-\lambda_j)\right)(x-\lambda_{2\gamma-1}).$$

The equalities $P(0)=\lambda_{2\gamma}$ and $P(\infty)=\lambda_{2\gamma-1}$ imply that

$$\mu_1^2 = \frac{\lambda_{2\gamma}}{\lambda_{2\gamma-1}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2\gamma-1}-1}{\lambda_{2\gamma}-1}\right), \quad \mu_2^2 = \frac{\lambda_{2\gamma-1}-1}{\lambda_{2\gamma}-1}.$$

Also, the equality $P(\pm\mu_j)=\lambda_{j-2}$, for $j=3,\dots,2\gamma$, ensures that

$$\mu_j^2 = \left(\frac{\lambda_{2\gamma-1}-1}{\lambda_{2\gamma}-1}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda_{j-2}-\lambda_{2\gamma}}{\lambda_{j-2}-\lambda_{2\gamma-1}}\right).$$

The involution τ can be directly computed.

□

3.2. **Genus two hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces.** Corollary 3.1, in particular, provides the very well known fact that a closed Riemann surface of genus two admitting a conformal involution, different from the hyperelliptic one, has its Jacobian varity isogenous to the product of two elliptic curves (see for instance [13]).

3.3. **Genus four hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces.** Let us consider a hyperelliptic Riemann surface S of genus four admitting a conformal involution τ with exactly two fixed points. If S_1 and S_2 are the hyperelliptic Riemann surface structures of the orbifolds $S/\langle\tau\rangle$ and $S/\langle\tau\iota\rangle$, then Corollary 3.1 asserts that $JS \cong_{isog.} JS_1 \times JS_2$. Now, if the Riemann surface S_j, for $j=1,2$, admits a conformal involution with two fixed points, then again $JS_j \cong_{isog.} E_{1,j}\times E_{2,j}$, where $E_{i,j}$ is elliptic curve and it will follow that $JS \cong_{isog.} E_{1,1}\times E_{1,2}\times E_{2,1}\times E_{2,2}$. Next result provides explicitly such kind of examples.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\lambda_{1,1},\lambda_{1,2}\in\mathbb{C}-\{0,1\}$ so that $\lambda_{1,1}\neq\lambda_{1,2}$ and $\lambda_{1,1}\lambda_{1,2}\neq 1$. Set*

$$\lambda_{2,1} = \frac{1}{2}\left(-4\lambda_{1,2} + \frac{4+2\lambda_{1,1}-13\lambda_{1,2}+8\lambda_{1,2}^2-\lambda_{1,2}^3}{1-4\lambda_{1,2}+2\lambda_{1,1}\lambda_{1,2}+\lambda_{1,2}^2}\right)$$

$$\lambda_{2,2} = \frac{2\lambda_{1,1}+\lambda_{1,2}-4\lambda_{1,1}\lambda_{1,2}+\lambda_{1,1}^2\lambda_{1,2}}{1-4\lambda_{1,1}+2\lambda_{1,1}\lambda_{1,2}+\lambda_{1,1}^2}.$$

We also assume that $\lambda_{2,1},\lambda_{2,2}\in\mathbb{C}-\{0,1\}$, $\lambda_{2,1}\neq\lambda_{1,1}$ and $\lambda_{2,2}\neq\lambda_{1,2}$ (this provides an open dense subspace in the $(\lambda_{1,1},\lambda_{1,2})$-space). Set

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{(1-\mu_{1,1})^2}{4\mu_{1,1}};\ \lambda_2 = \left(\frac{1-\mu_{1,1}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\mu_{2,1}+1}{\mu_{2,1}-\mu_{1,1}}\right);$$

$$\lambda_{2+j} = \left(\frac{1-\mu_{1,j}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\mu_{2,j}-1}{\mu_{2,j}+\mu_{1,j}}\right),\ j=1,2,$$

where

$$\mu_{1,j} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{2,j}}{\lambda_{1,j}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1,j}-1}{\lambda_{2,j}-1}\right)}; \quad \mu_{2,j} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{1,j}-1}{\lambda_{2,j}-1}}.$$

Also set

$$\mu_1=\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_4}{\lambda_3}\left(\frac{\lambda_3-1}{\lambda_4-1}\right)};\quad \mu_2=\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_3-1}{\lambda_4-1}};$$

$$\mu_3=\sqrt{\left(\frac{\lambda_3-1}{\lambda_4-1}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda_1-\lambda_4}{\lambda_1-\lambda_3}\right)};\quad \mu_4=\sqrt{\left(\frac{\lambda_3-1}{\lambda_4-1}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda_2-\lambda_4}{\lambda_2-\lambda_3}\right)}.$$

Let S be the genus four hyperelliptic curve

$$C: y^2=x(x-1)\left(x+\frac{(1-\mu_1)^2}{4\mu_1}\right)\prod_{j=2}^{4}\left(x^2-\frac{(1-\mu_1)(\mu_j^2+\mu_1)}{\mu_j^2-\mu_1^2}x+\frac{(1-\mu_1)^2(\mu_j^2-1)}{4(\mu_j^2-\mu_1^2)}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

Then

$$JC\cong_{isog.} C_{11}\times C_{12}\times C_{21}\times C_{22},$$

where

$$C_{11}: y^2=x(x-1)(x-\lambda_{1,2});\quad C_{12}: y^2=x(x-1)(x-\lambda_{1,1});$$
$$C_{21}: y^2=x(x-1)(x-\lambda_{2,2});\quad C_{22}: y^2=x(x-1)(x-\lambda_{2,1}).$$

Proof. Let us start observing that in order for S_j to admit a conformal involution with exactly two fixed points it must have an equation as follows (by Corollary 3.1)

$$C_j: y^2=x(x-1)(x-\rho_{1,j})(x-\rho_{2,j})(x-\rho_{3,j}),$$

where

$$\rho_{1,j}=-\frac{(1-\mu_{1,j})^2}{4\mu_{1,j}};\quad \rho_{2,j}=\left(\frac{1-\mu_{1,j}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\mu_{2,j}+1}{\mu_{2,j}-\mu_{1,j}}\right);\quad \rho_{3,j}=\left(\frac{1-\mu_{1,j}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\mu_{2,j}-1}{\mu_{2,j}+\mu_{1,j}}\right);$$

$$\mu_{1,j}=\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{2,j}}{\lambda_{1,j}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{1,j}-1}{\lambda_{2,j}-1}\right)};\quad \mu_{2,j}=\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{1,j}-1}{\lambda_{2,j}-1}};$$

and $\lambda_{1,j},\lambda_{2,j}\in\mathbb{C}-\{0,1\}$ with $\lambda_{1,j}\neq\lambda_{2,j}$.

At this point, we are working with 4 parameters; $\lambda_{1,1}$, $\lambda_{2,1}$, $\lambda_{1,2}$ and $\lambda_{2,2}$.

Let ι_j be the hyperelliptic involution of S_j (which is induced by ι). The fixed points of ι_j are the images in S_j of the fixed points of ι (this produces five of them) and the other is the image of the fixed points of $\iota\tau$ for $j=1$ and the image of the fixed points of τ for $j=2$.

The quotient $S_j/\langle\iota_j\rangle$ is the Riemann sphere whose cone points are ∞, 0, 1, $\rho_{1,j}$, $\rho_{2,j}$ (these are the projections of those fixed points of ι_j which are comming from the fixed points of ι) and a point $\rho_{3,j}$, which is the image of the fixed point of ι_j which is comming from the fixed points of $\iota\tau$ for $j=1$ and the image of the fixed points of τ for $j=2$.

Since $\{\infty,0,1,\rho_{1,1},\rho_{2,1},\rho_{3,1},\rho_{1,2},\rho_{2,2},\rho_{3,2}\}$ are also the cone points of $S/\langle\iota,\tau\rangle$, we may see that $\{\rho_{1,1},\rho_{2,1}\}=\{\rho_{1,2},\rho_{2,2}\}$, $\rho_{3,1}\neq\rho_{3,2}$.

Let us assume that $\rho_{1,1}=\rho_{2,2}$ and $\rho_{2,1}=\rho_{1,2}$. This is equivalent to have

$$\lambda_{2,1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-4\lambda_{1,2}+\frac{4+2\lambda_{1,1}-13\lambda_{1,2}+8\lambda_{1,2}^2-\lambda_{1,2}^3}{1-4\lambda_{1,2}+2\lambda_{1,1}\lambda_{1,2}+\lambda_{1,2}^2}\right)$$

$$\lambda_{2,2}=\frac{2\lambda_{1,1}+\lambda_{1,2}-4\lambda_{1,1}\lambda_{1,2}+\lambda_{1,1}^2\lambda_{1,2}}{1-4\lambda_{1,1}+2\lambda_{1,1}\lambda_{1,2}+\lambda_{1,1}^2}.$$

The above provides two equations for the four parameters $\lambda_{1,1}$, $\lambda_{2,1}$, $\lambda_{1,2}$ and $\lambda_{2,2}$.

The condition $\rho_{3,1}\neq\rho_{3,2}$ is now equivalent to have

$$\lambda_{1,1}\neq\lambda_{1,2},\quad \lambda_{1,1}\lambda_{1,2}\neq 1.$$

Now, Corollary 3.1 tells us how to write the equation for S in terms of the above values, where in this case, $\lambda_1 = \rho_{1,1}$, $\lambda_2 = \rho_{2,1}$, $\lambda_3 = \rho_{3,1}$ and $\lambda_4 = \rho_{3,2}$.

□

Remark 3.3. In [9, 10, 11] it is shown a particular hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus four whose Jacobian variety is isogenous to a product $E_1^2 \times E_2^2$, where E_1 and E_2 are elliptic curves. Such a curve is given by

$$P : y^2 = x(x^4 - 1)(x^4 + 2\sqrt{-3}\, x^2 + 1),$$

but it does not have an automorphism of order two differently form the hyperelliptic involution; so it cannot be produced with our method. Anyway, if we consider, for instance, $\lambda_{1,1} = -1$ and $\lambda_{1,2} = 1/5$, then $j(\lambda_{1,1}) = j(\lambda_{2,1}) = 27/4$ and $j(\lambda_{1,2}) = j(\lambda_{2,2}) = 9261/400$, where j is the Klein-elliptic function

$$j(\lambda) = \frac{(1 - \lambda + \lambda^2)^3}{\lambda^2(1-\lambda)^2},$$

and the Jacobian variety of the hyperelliptic Riemann surface C constructed in Corollary 3.2 will satisfy $JC \cong_{isog} C_{1,1}^2 \times C_{1,2}^2$. Similar kind of examples can be produced from Corollary 3.2 by considering appropriated parameters $\lambda_{1,1}$ and $\lambda_{1,2}$. For instance, if we take $\lambda_{1,1} = 4 + \sqrt{11}$ and $\lambda_{1,2} = 1 - \lambda_{1,1} = -3 - \sqrt{11}$, then $\lambda_{2,1} = (3 - \sqrt{11})/2$ and $\lambda_{2,2} = (1 + \sqrt{11})/2$; so $j(\lambda_{1,1}) = j(\lambda_{1,2}) = j(\lambda_{2,1}) = (1489 + 294\sqrt{11}) = 50$ and $j(\lambda_{2,2}) = 343/50$, and JC is isogenous to $C_{11}^3 \times C_{22}$.

4. Jacobian variety of generalized Fermat curves of type (p, n), with p a prime integer

In this section we describe the main result of the paper, that is, an isogenous decomposition of the Jacobian variety of a generalized Fermat curve of type (p, n), where p is a prime integer. In the next two sections we make this explicit for $p \in \{2, 3\}$.

4.1. A counting formula.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $q \geq 2$ and $r \geq 2$ be integers and let $\psi_q(r)$ be the number of different tuples $(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r)$ so that $\alpha_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$, and $\alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_r \equiv -1 \mod (q)$. Then*

$$\psi_q(r) = (-1)^{r+1}\left(\frac{(1-q)^{r-1} - 1}{q}\right).$$

Proof. Let us consider a tuple $(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}, \alpha_r)$, where $\alpha_j \in \{1, \dots, q-1\}$ and $\alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_r \equiv -1 \mod (q)$. Since α_r is not congruent to 0 mod q, we must have that $\alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1}$ cannot be congruent to -1 mod q. But this last sum can be congruent to any value inside $\{0, 1, \dots, q-2\}$. We also note that α_r gets uniquely determined by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}$. In this way, $\psi_q(r) = (q-1)^{r-2} - \psi_q(r-1)$. This recurrence asserts that

$$\psi_q(r) = \sum_{k=2}^{r}(-1)^k(q-1)^{r-k} = (-1)^r \sum_{k=2}^{r}(1-q)^{r-k} = (-1)^r \sum_{k=0}^{r-2}(1-q)^k = (-1)^{r+1}\left(\frac{(1-q)^{r-1} - 1}{q}\right).$$

□

For instance, $\psi_2(2s) = 1$, $\psi_2(2s-1) = 0$, and for $q \geq 3$ we have $\psi_q(2) = 1$, $\psi_q(3) = q-2$, $\psi_q(4) = q^2 - 3q + 3$ and $\psi_q(5) = q^3 - 4q^2 + 6q - 4$.

We will need the following equality, which permits to write the genus of a generalized Fermat curve as the sum of the genus of cyclic gonal curves (we will use this for the prime case).

Lemma 4.2. *Let $n, q \geq 2$ be integers with $n+1 \geq r_q$, where $r_2 = 4$ and $r_q = 3$ for $q \geq 3$. Then*

$$1 + \frac{\phi(q,n)}{2} = \sum_{r=r_q}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{r} \frac{(r-2)(q-1)}{2} \psi_q(r).$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, the equality we want to obtain is

$$1 + \frac{q^{n-1}((n-1)q-n-1)}{2} = \frac{(q-1)}{2q} \sum_{r=r_q}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{r} (r-2)((q-1)^{r-1} - (-1)^{r-1}).$$

Let us consider the function

$$f(x) = \frac{(q-1)(1+x)^{n+1}}{2qx^2} = \frac{(q-1)}{2q} \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{r} x^{r-2}.$$

Then taking the derivative with respect to x, the above provides the following equality

$$\frac{(q-1)(1+x)^n((n-1)x-2)}{2qx^3} = \frac{(q-1)}{2q} \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{r} (r-2) x^{r-3}.$$

Evaluating the last one at $x = q-1$ provides the equality

$$\frac{q^{n-1}((n-1)q-n-1)}{2} = \frac{(q-1)}{2q} \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{r} (r-2)(q-1)^{r-1}$$

and evaluating at $x = -1$ provides the equality

$$0 = \frac{(q-1)}{2q} \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{r} (r-2)(-1)^{r-1}.$$

By subtracting both equalities one obtains

$$\frac{q^{n-1}((n-1)q-n-1)}{2} = \frac{(q-1)}{2q} \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{r} (r-2)((q-1)^{r-1} - (-1)^{r-1}).$$

from which the desired equality follows. □

Remark 4.3. A way to see $\psi_p(r)$, where $p \geq 2$ is prime, in terms of the generalized Fermat group is the following. Let us consider a generalized Fermat curve S of type (p,n) and its corresponding generalized Fermat group $H_0 = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. Let us fix r of the cone points ∞, 0, 1, $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-2}$. Let R be a closed Riemann surface admitting a group $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ as a group of conformal automorphisms so that R/K is the Riemann sphere whose cone points are exactly the chosen r points. Let $P : R \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a regular branched cover with K as its deck group and whose branch values are these r points. If we lift the $n+1-r$ other points via P to R, then we obtain a Riemann orbifold $\mathcal{O}_R$, of signature $((r-2)(p-1)/2; p, \overset{p(n+1-r)}{\ldots}, p)$, admitting K as a group of conformal automorphisms. It follows the existence of a subgroup $H_K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$ of H_0 so that $\mathcal{O}_R = S/H_K$. In this way, $\psi_p(r)$ equals to the number of subgroups $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$ of H_0 with S/H of signature $((r-2)(p-1)/2; p, \overset{p(n+1-r)}{\ldots}, p)$.

4.2. **Some cyclic p-gonal covers.** Let $p \geq 2$ be a prime integer and set $r_2 = 4$ and, for $p \geq 3$, set $r_p = 3$.

Let us fix an integer $r \geq r_p$ (we assume r even if $p = 2$) and we also fix a collection of r pairwise different complex numbers $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let S be closed Riemann surface S admitting a group $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ as a group of conformal automorphism so that S/K has signature $(0; p, \overset{r}{\ldots}, p)$ and whose cone points are $\mu_1,..., \mu_r$. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, the genus of S is $\gamma = (r-2)(p-1)/2$. Also, the surface S can be described by a cyclic p-gonal curve of the form

$$C(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r) : y^p = \prod_{j=1}^{r} (x - \mu_j)^{\alpha_j},$$

where $\alpha_j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$ and $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r \equiv 0 \mod (p)$. In this curve model, a generator of K is given by $\tau(x, y) = (x, e^{2\pi i/p} y)$.

If $\beta \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$, then the curves $C(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ and $C(\beta\alpha_1, \ldots, \beta\alpha_r)$ define isomorphic Riemann surfaces. It follows that we may assume $\alpha_1 = 1$. Lemma 4.1 tell us that the number of such (normalized) different cylic p-gonal curves is $\psi_p(r)$.

We should note that two curves $C(1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ and $C(1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r)$ may be isomorphic even if the ordered tuples $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ and $(\beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r)$ are different; so $\psi_p(r)$ is not in general equal to the number of isomorphic classes of cyclic p-gonal curves.

4.3. **Decomposition structure of the Jacobian variety of a generalized Fermat curves of type (p, n), with $p \geq 2$ a prime integer.** Let us consider a generalized Fermat curve of type (p, n), with $n + 1 \geq r_p$, say

$$S := C^p(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-2}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} x_1^p + x_2^p + x_3^p & = & 0 \\ \lambda_1 x_1^p + x_2^p + x_4^p & = & 0 \\ \lambda_2 x_1^p + x_2^p + x_5^p & = & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{n-2} x_1^p + x_2^p + x_{n+1}^p & = & 0 \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n,$$

where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-2} \in \mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$ and, for $i \neq j$, $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$. The Riemann surface S has genus $g = 1 + \phi(p, n)/2 \geq 1$ and it admits, as a group of conformal automorphisms, the generalized Fermat group $H_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$; generated by the transformations

$$a_j([x_1 : \cdots : x_{n+1}]) = [x_1 : \cdots : x_{j-1} : \omega_p x_j : x_{j+1} : \cdots : x_{n+1}], \;\; j = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $\omega_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$. We set $a_{n+1} = a_1^{-1} \cdots a_n^{-1}$, that is,

$$a_{n+1}([x_1 : \cdots : x_{n+1}]) = [x_1 : \cdots : x_n : \omega_p x_{n+1}].$$

Let us make a choice of r points inside $\{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-2}\}$, where $n + 1 \geq r \geq r_p$. We assume r even if $p = 2$. If R is a closed Riemann surface admitting a group $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ as group of conformal automorphism so that R/K has signature $(0; p, \overset{r}{\ldots}, p)$ and cone points are the r chosen points, then there must be a subgroup $H_R \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$ of H_0 so that the quotient orbifold S/H_R has underlying Riemann surface isomorphic to R and so that the quotient orbifold under the action of $H/H_R \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ over such a Riemann surface structure has signature $(0; p, \overset{r}{\ldots}, p)$ and cone points the r chosen points. Such a group H_R must contain the elements a_j corresponding to the complement of the r chosen points but cannot contains the ones corresponding to these chosen points.

For any two different subgroups H_1, H_2 of H_0, with $H_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1} \cong H_2$ and with the above quotient property (maybe for different values of r), we clearly must have that $H_1 H_2 = H_0$.

All the above and Lemma 4.2 permits us to apply Corollary 2.10 in order to obtain the following.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (S,H) be a generalized Fermat pair of type (p,n), where p is a prime integer. Then*

$$JS \cong_{isog.} \prod_{H_r} JS_{H_r},$$

where H_r runs over all subgroups of H_0 which are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$ and such that S/H_r has genus at least one, and S_{H_r} is the underlying Riemann surface of the orbifold S/H_r.

The cyclic p-gonal curves S_{H_r} runs over all curves of the form

$$y^p = \prod_{j=1}^{r} (x-\mu_j)^{\alpha_j},$$

where $\{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_r\} \subset \{\infty,0,1,\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{n-2}\}$, $\mu_i \neq \mu_j$ if $i \neq j$, $\alpha_j \in \{1,2,\ldots,p-1\}$ satisfying the following.

(i) *If every $\mu_j \neq \infty$, then $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\alpha_2+\cdots+\alpha_r \equiv p-1 \mod (p)$;*
(ii) *If some $\lambda_a = \infty$, then $\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_{a-1}+\alpha_{a+1}+\alpha_r \equiv p-1 \mod (p)$.*

Example 4.5 (Classical Fermat curves)**.** Let us consider, as an example, the classical Fermat curve $S = F_p = \{x_1^p + x_2^p + x_3^p = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, where $p \geq 2$ is a prime, which has genus $g = (p-1)(p-2)/2$. If $\mathcal{U}_p = \{1,\ldots,p-2\}$, then it is well known that $JS \cong_{isog.} \prod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{U}_p} A_\alpha$, where A_α are abelian varieties of CM type (in the sense of Shimura and Taniyama) (see, for instance, [15]). In fact, $A_\alpha = JS_\alpha$, where S_α is the cyclic p-gonal curve

$$y^p = x(x-1)^\alpha.$$

This fact can be seen from Theorem 4.4. The above cyclic p-gonal curves correspond to the surfaces $F_p/\langle a_1 a_2^l\rangle$, where $l \in \{2,\ldots,p-1\}$.

In the particular case $p=5$, each C_j is isomorphic to the unique Riemann surface of genus two (up to isomorphisms) admitting an automorphism of order five; this being $C: y^2 = x^5-1$. So, $JF_5 \cong_{isog.} JC^3$.

5. Jacobian variety of generalized Fermat curves of type $(2,n)$

In this section we make explicit computations for the case $p=2$.

5.1. **Generalized Fermat curves of type** $(2,4)$**.** In this case,

$$S = \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2 & = & 0 \\ \lambda_1 x_1^2+x_2^2+x_4^2 & = & 0 \\ \lambda_2 x_1^2+x_2^2+x_5^2 & = & 0 \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^4, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\lambda_1,\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}-\{0,1\}$ and $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, has genus $g=5$ and the generalized Fermat group $H_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ is generated by the transformations

$$a_1([x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5]) = [-x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5],$$
$$a_2([x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5]) = [x_1:-x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5],$$
$$a_3([x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5]) = [x_1:x_2:-x_3:x_4:x_5],$$
$$a_4([x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5]) = [x_1:x_2:x_3:-x_4:x_5].$$

The transformation $a_5 = a_1a_2a_3a_4$ is

$$a_5([x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5]) = [x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:-x_5].$$

The list of the different subgroups H of H_0, isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ with S/H of genus at least one is the following:

$$H_1 = \langle a_1, a_2a_3, a_2a_4\rangle, \; H_2 = \langle a_2, a_1a_3, a_1a_4\rangle$$

$$H_3 = \langle a_3, a_1a_2, a_2a_4\rangle,\ H_4 = \langle a_4, a_2a_3, a_1a_2\rangle,\ H_5 = \langle a_5, a_2a_3, a_2a_4\rangle.$$

The quotient orbifold S/H_j has signature $(1; 2, 2)$ and the underlying Riemann surface is described by the elliptic curve C_j as below.

$$C_1 :\quad y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x - \frac{\lambda_2 - 1}{\lambda_1 - 1}\right); \qquad C_2 :\quad y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right);$$

$$C_3 :\quad y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x - \frac{\lambda_2(1-\lambda_1)}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}\right); \quad C_4 :\quad y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda_2);$$

$$C_5 :\quad y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda_1).$$

In this case, if $i \neq j$, then $H_iH_j = H_0$; so $g_{H_iH_j} = 0$. We may apply Corollary 2.10 to obtain the following.

Theorem 5.1. *Let S, C_1,..., C_5 be as above. Then*

$$JS \cong_{isog.} C_1 \times C_2 \times C_3 \times C_4 \times C_5.$$

Remark 5.2.

(1) Theorem 5.1, for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, was obtained by Yamauchi in [17]. Our results states that all generalized Fermat curves of type $(2, 4)$, a two-dimensional family of Riemann surfaces of genus five, have a complete decomposable Jacobian variety.

(2) The elliptic curves C_1, C_2 and C_3, respectively, can also be described by the following ones

$$\widehat{C_1} : y^2 = (x-1)(x-\lambda_1)(x-\lambda_2),$$
$$\widehat{C_2} : y^2 = x(x-\lambda_1)(x-\lambda_2),$$
$$\widehat{C_3} : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda_1)(x-\lambda_2).$$

If we consider the fiber product of $\widehat{C_1}$, $\widehat{C_2}$, $\widehat{C_3}$, C_4 and C_5, in each case using the projection $(x, y) \mapsto x$, we obtain a reducible curve. This curve has two irreducible components, both of them isomorphic to S.

5.2. **An example.**

Let j be the Klein-elliptic function

$$j(\lambda) = \frac{(1-\lambda+\lambda^2)^3}{\lambda^2(1-\lambda)^2}.$$

If we choose $\lambda_2 = 1/\lambda_1$, then we see that

$$j\left(\frac{\lambda_2(1-\lambda_1)}{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}\right) = j(\lambda_1)$$

is equivalent to have

$$(1+\lambda_1^2)(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 - 1)(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1 - 1) = 0.$$

$$j\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right) = j(\lambda_1)$$

is equivalent to have

$$(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 - 1)(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1 - 1)(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1 + 1)(\lambda_1^3 - \lambda_1 + 1)(\lambda_1^3 - \lambda_1^2 + 1) = 0.$$

$$j\left(\frac{\lambda_2-1}{\lambda_1-1}\right) = j(\lambda_1)$$

is equivalent to have

$$(1+\lambda_1^2)(\lambda_1^2-\lambda_1-1)(\lambda_1^2+\lambda_1-1)=0.$$

So, if we take $\lambda_1=(1-\sqrt{5})/2$ and $\lambda_2=-(1+\sqrt{5})/2$, we obtain that C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 and C_5 are isomorphic elliptic curves and, in particular, $JS \cong_{isog.} C_1^5$.

5.3. **Generalized Fermat curves of type** $(2,5)$**.** In this case

$$S=\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2 & = & 0\\ \lambda_1 x_1^2+x_2^2+x_4^2 & = & 0\\ \lambda_2 x_1^2+x_2^2+x_5^2 & = & 0\\ \lambda_3 x_1^2+x_2^2+x_6^2 & = & 0 \end{array}\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^5, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3 \in \mathbb{C}-\{0,1\}$ and $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, for $i \neq j$, has genus $g=17$ and the generalized Fermat group $H_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^5$ is generated by the transformations

$$a_1([x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5:x_6]) = [-x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5:x_6],$$

$$a_2([x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5:x_6]) = [x_1:-x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5:x_6],$$

$$a_3([x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5:x_6]) = [x_1:x_2:-x_3:x_4:x_5:x_6],$$

$$a_4([x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5:x_6]) = [x_1:x_2:x_3:-x_4:x_5:x_6],$$

$$a_5([x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5:x_6]) = [x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:-x_5:x_6].$$

The transformation $a_6=a_1a_2a_3a_4a_5$ is

$$a_6([x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5:x_6]) = [x_1:x_2:x_3:x_4:x_5:-x_6].$$

The list of the different subgroups H of H_0, isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$ with S/H of genus at least one is the following:

$$H_1=\langle a_1,a_2,a_3a_4,a_3a_5\rangle,\ H_2=\langle a_1,a_3,a_2a_4,a_2a_5\rangle,\ H_3=\langle a_1,a_4,a_2a_3,a_3a_5\rangle,$$

$$H_4=\langle a_1,a_5,a_3a_4,a_2a_3\rangle,\ H_5=\langle a_1,a_6,a_3a_4,a_3a_5\rangle,\ H_6=\langle a_2,a_3,a_1a_4,a_1a_5\rangle,$$

$$H_7=\langle a_2,a_4,a_1a_3,a_1a_5\rangle,\ H_8=\langle a_2,a_5,a_1a_4,a_1a_3\rangle,\ H_9=\langle a_2,a_6,a_1a_4,a_1a_5\rangle,$$

$$H_{10}=\langle a_3,a_4,a_1a_2,a_1a_5\rangle,\ H_{11}=\langle a_3,a_5,a_1a_2,a_1a_4\rangle,\ H_{12}=\langle a_3,a_6,a_1a_2,a_1a_5\rangle,$$

$$H_{13}=\langle a_4,a_5,a_1a_2,a_1a_3\rangle,\ H_{14}=\langle a_4,a_6,a_1a_2,a_1a_3\rangle,\ H_{15}=\langle a_5,a_6,a_1a_2,a_1a_3\rangle,$$

$$H_{16}=\langle a_1a_2,a_1a_3,a_1a_4,a_1a_5\rangle.$$

The quotient orbifold S/H_j, for $j = 1, ..., 15$ has signature $(1; 2, 2, 2, 2)$ and its underlying genus one Riemann surface is described by the elliptic curve C_j as below.

$$C_1 : \quad y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x - \frac{(\lambda_3-\lambda_2)(1-\lambda_1)}{(\lambda_3-\lambda_1)(1-\lambda_2)}\right); \qquad C_2 : \quad y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x - \frac{\lambda_3(\lambda_2-1)}{\lambda_2(\lambda_3-\lambda_1)}\right);$$

$$C_3 : \quad y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x - \frac{\lambda_3(1-\lambda_2)}{\lambda_3-\lambda_2}\right); \qquad C_4 : \quad y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x - \frac{\lambda_3(1-\lambda_1)}{\lambda_3-\lambda_1}\right);$$

$$C_5 : \quad y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x - \frac{\lambda_2(1-\lambda_1)}{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}\right); \qquad C_6 : \quad y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x - \frac{\lambda_3-\lambda_1}{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}\right);$$

$$C_7 : \quad y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x - \frac{\lambda_3-\lambda_2}{1-\lambda_2}\right); \qquad C_8 : \quad y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x - \frac{\lambda_3-\lambda_1}{1-\lambda_1}\right);$$

$$C_9 : \quad y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x - \frac{\lambda_2-\lambda_1}{1-\lambda_1}\right); \qquad C_{10} : \quad y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x - \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2}\right);$$

$$C_{11} : \quad y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x - \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1}\right); \qquad C_{12} : \quad y^2 = x(x-1)\left(x - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right);$$

$$C_{13} : \quad y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda_3); \qquad C_{14} : \quad y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda_2);$$

$$C_{15} : \quad y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda_1).$$

The quotient Riemann surface S/H_{16} has genus two an it is given by the curve

$$C_{16} : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda_1)(x-\lambda_2)(x-\lambda_3).$$

In this case, if $i \neq j$, then $H_iH_j = H_0$; so $g_{H_iH_j} = 0$. We may apply Corollary 2.10 to obtain that

Theorem 5.3. *Let S, C_1,..., C_{16} be as above. Then*

$$JS \cong_{isog.} C_1 \times \cdots C_{15} \times JC_{16}.$$

Remark 5.4.

(1) A particular situation of the above result is when $\lambda_1 = -1$, $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C} - \{0, \pm 1, \pm i\}$ and $\lambda_3 = -1/\lambda_2$. In this case the hyperelliptic curve C_{16} admits an involution different from the hyperelliptic involution, this being $(x, y) \mapsto (-1/x, iy/x^3)$. By Corollary 3.1) $JC_{16} \cong_{isog.} E_1 \times E_2$, where E_1 and E_2 are elliptic curves, so

$$JS \cong_{isog.} C_1 \times \cdots C_{15} \times E_1 \times E_2.$$

(2) In the case that $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, Theorem 5.3 was obtained by Yamauchi in [17].

5.4. **Generalized Fermat curves of type** $(2, n)$**, where** $n \geq 6$. In this case,

$$S = \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 & = & 0 \\ \lambda_1 x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_4^2 & = & 0 \\ \lambda_2 x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_5^2 & = & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{n-2} x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_{n+1}^2 & = & 0 \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n, \tag{5.3}$$

where $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{n-2} \in \mathbb{C} - \{0,1\}$ and $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, for $i \neq j$, has genus $g = 1 + 2^{n-2}(n-3)$ and the generalized Fermat group $H_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is generated by the transformations

$$a_j([x_1 : \cdots : x_{n+1}]) = [x_1 : \cdots : x_{j-1} : -x_j : x_{j+1} : \cdots : x_{n+1}],\ \ j = 1, ..., n.$$

The transformation $a_{n+1} = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is

$$a_{n+1}([x_1 : \cdots : x_{n+1}]) = [x_1 : \cdots : x_n : -x_{n+1}].$$

Set

$$b_1 = \infty,\ b_2 = 0,\ b_3 = 1,\ b_4 = \lambda_1, \ldots, b_{n+1} = \lambda_{n-2}.$$

5.4.1. *Case $n \geq 6$ even.* For each $j = 1, 2, \ldots, (n-2)/2$, we consider the subgroup

$$K_j = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2j-1}, a_{2j}a_{2j+1}, a_{2j}a_{2j+2}, \ldots, a_{2j}a_n \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}$$

The quotient orbifold S/K_j has signature $((n-2j)/2; 2, \overset{2(2j-1)}{\ldots}, 2)$ and admits an automorphism τ_j of order two. If S_j is the Riemann surface structure of S/K_j, then $S_j/\langle \tau_j \rangle$ is a Riemann orbifold of signature $(0; 2, \overset{n-2j+2}{\ldots}, 2)$. The cone points are given by the points $b_{2j}, b_{2j+1}, \ldots, b_{n+1}$, that is, it is the hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $(n-2j)/2$ described by the equation

$$S_j : y^2 = (x - b_{2j})(x - b_{2j+1}) \cdots (x - b_{n+1}).$$

Fix $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, (n-2)/2\}$. For each tuple $(i_1, \ldots, i_{2j-1}) \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n+1\}$, $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{2j-1}$ (we denote by I_j such a collection of tuples), we may consider the permutation

$$\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})} = (1, i_1)(2, i_2) \cdots (2j-1, i_{2j-1}) \in \Sigma_{n+1}.$$

We have $\binom{n+1}{2j-1}$ permutations as above. For each such permutation we consider the group

$$\begin{array}{c} H_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})}} \\ \| \\ \langle a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \ldots, a_{i_{2j-1}}, a_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})}(2j)} a_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})}(2j+1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})}(2j)} a_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})}(n)} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1} \end{array}$$

As was the case for K_j, the quotient orbifold $S/H_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})}}$ has signature $((n-2j)/2; 2, \overset{2(2j-1)}{\ldots}, 2)$ and admits an automorphism $\tau_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})}$ of order two. If $S_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})}$ is the Riemann surface structure of $S/H_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})}}$, then $S_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})}/\langle \tau_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})} \rangle$ is a Riemann orbifold of signature $(0; 2, \overset{n-2j+2}{\ldots}, 2)$. The cone points are given by the points in

$$\{c_1, \ldots, c_{n-2j+2}\} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_{n+1}\} - \{b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \ldots, b_{i_{2j-1}}\},$$

that is, it is the hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $(n-2j-2)/2$ described by the equation

$$S_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})} : y^2 = (x - c_1)(x - c_2) \cdots (x - c_{n-2j+2}).$$

Any two different subgroups as above, say $H_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})}}$ and $H_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2r-1})}}$, satisfies that

$$H_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})}} H_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2r-1})}} = H_0,$$

and, by Lemma 4.2,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{(n-2)/2} \binom{n+1}{2j-1}(n-2j)/2 = \sum_{l=2}^{n/2} \binom{n+1}{n+1-2l}(l-1) = \sum_{l=2}^{n/2} \binom{n+1}{2l}(l-1) = 1 + (n-3)2^{n-2} = g.$$

So, we may apply Corollary 2.10 to obtain the following.

Theorem 5.5.

$$JS \cong_{isog.} \prod_{j=1}^{(n-2)/2} \prod_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})\in I_j} JS_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j-1})}$$

5.4.2. *Case $n \geq 7$ odd.* For each $j = 0, 1, \ldots, (n-3)/2$, we consider the subgroup

$$K_j = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}a_{2j+2}, a_{2j+1}a_{2j+3}, \ldots, a_{2j+1}a_n\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}$$

The quotient orbifold S/K_j has signature $((n-2j-1)/2; 2, \overset{4j}{\ldots}, 2)$ and admits an automorphism τ_j of order two. If S_j is the Riemann surface structure of S/K_j, then $S_j/\langle\tau_j\rangle$ is a Riemann orbifold of signature $(0; 2, \overset{n+1-2j}{\ldots}, 2)$. The cone points are given by the points $b_{2j+1}, \ldots, b_{n+1}$, that is, it is the hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $(n-2j-1)/2$ described by the equation

$$S_j : y^2 = (x - b_{2j+1})(x - b_{2j+2}) \cdots (x - b_{n+1}).$$

Fix $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, (n-3)/2\}$. For each tuple $(i_1, \ldots, i_{2j}) \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n+1\}$, $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{2j}$ (we denote by I_j such a collection of tuples), we may consider the permutation

$$\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})} = (1, i_1)(2, i_2)\cdots(2j, i_{2j}) \in \Sigma_{n+1}.$$

We have $\binom{n+1}{2j}$ permutations as above. For each such permutation we consider the group

$$\begin{array}{c} H_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})}} \\ \parallel \\ \langle a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \ldots, a_{i_{2j}}, a_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})}(2j+1)}a_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})}(2j+2)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})}(2j+1)}a_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})}(n)}\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1} \end{array}$$

As was the case for K_j, the quotient orbifold $S/H_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})}}$ has signature $((n-2j-1)/2; 2, \overset{4j}{\ldots}, 2)$ and admits an automorphism $\tau_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})}$ of order two. If $S_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})}$ is the Riemann surface structure of $S/H_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})}}$, then $S_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})}/\langle\tau_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})}\rangle$ is a Riemann orbifold of signature $(0; 2, \overset{n+1-2j}{\ldots}, 2)$. The cone points are given by the points in

$$\{c_1, \ldots, c_{n+1-2j}\} = \{b_1, \ldots, b_{n+1}\} - \{b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \ldots, b_{i_{2j}}\},$$

that is, it is the hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus $(n-2j-1)/2$ described by the equation

$$S_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})} : y^2 = (x - c_1)(x - c_2)\cdots(x - c_{n+1-2j}).$$

Any two different subgroups as above, say $H_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})}}$ and $H_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2r})}}$, satisfies that

$$H_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})}} H_{\sigma_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2r})}} = H_0,$$

and, by Lemma 4.2,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{(n-3)/2} \binom{n+1}{2j}(n-1-2j)/2 = \sum_{l=2}^{(n+1)/2} \binom{n+1}{n+1-2l}(l-1) = \sum_{l=2}^{(n+1)/2} \binom{n+1}{2l}(l-1) = 1 + (n-3)2^{n-2} = g.$$

So, we may apply Corollary 2.10 to obtain the following.

Theorem 5.6.

$$JS \cong_{isog.} \prod_{j=0}^{(n-3)/2} \prod_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})\in I_j} JS_{(i_1,\ldots,i_{2j})}$$

Example 5.7 (A generalized Fermat curve of type $(2,6)$ whose Jacobian variety is isogenous to a product of elliptic curves)**.** We have seen that the Jacobian variety of a generalized Fermat curve of type $(2,4)$, which has genus five, is isogenous to the product of five elliptic curves. Similarly, we have constructed a one-dimensional family of generalized Fermat curves of type $(2,5)$, which have genus seventeen, whose Jacobian variety is isogenous to the product of seventeen elliptic curves. If we consider a generalized Fermat curve of type $(2,6)$, which has genus 49, the above decomposition states that its Jacobian variety is isogenous to the product of 35 elliptic curves and 7 Jacobian varieties of genus two curves. These seven curves of genus two are of the form $y^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{6}(x-a_j)$, where $a_1,\ldots,a_6 \in \{\infty,0,1,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4\}$. If we assume the values λ_1, λ_2, λ_3 and λ_4 so that there is a Möbius transformation T of order seven preserving the set $\{\infty,0,1,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4\}$, then for every point $a \in \{\infty,0,1,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4\}$ there is a Möbius transformation S_a of order two fixing a and permuting the other six points. This asserts that the corresponding genus two surface admits a conformal involution with two fixed points, so its Jacobian variety is isogenous to the product of two elliptic curves. In particular, for such values the corresponding generalized Fermat curve of type $(2,6)$ is isogenous to the product of 49 elliptic curves.

6. Jacobian variety of generalized Fermat curves of type $(3,n)$, where $n \in \{2,3,4\}$

In this section we describe the decomposition of the Jacobian variety of generalized Fermat curves of type $(3,n)$, with $n \in \{2,3,4\}$, indicated in Theorem 4.4.

6.1. A simple remark.

Let us start with the following fact (which was also obtained in [13]).

Lemma 6.1. *If S is a closed Riemann surface of genus two admitting a conformal automorphism η of order three with exactly four fixed points, then JS is isogenous to the product of two elliptic curves.*

Proof. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, $S/\langle\eta\rangle$ has signature $(0;3,3,3,3)$. We may assume that the cone points of $S/\langle\eta\rangle$ are ∞, 0, 1 and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}-\{0,1\}$. It follows that S is defined by the hyperelliptic curve

$$y^2 = (x^3-1)\left(x^3-\alpha^3\right),$$

where

$$\alpha^3 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}+1}{\sqrt{\lambda}-1}\right)^2.$$

The automorphism η is defined by

$$\eta(x,y) = (\omega_3 x, y), \quad \omega_3 = e^{2\pi i/3}.$$

The above hyperelliptic curve admits the involution

$$(x,y) \mapsto \left(\frac{\alpha}{x}, \frac{\alpha^{3/2}\,y}{x^3}\right),$$

with exactly two fixed points; so it follows (see Section 3.2) that $JS \cong_{isog.} E_1 \times E_2$, where E_1 and E_2 are elliptic curves.

□

6.2. Generalized Fermat curves of type $(3,2)$.

There is exactly one generalized Fermat curve of type $(3,2)$, this being given by:

$$x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 = 0$$

which has genus $g=1$ (it is also described by the curve $y^2 = x^3-1$).

6.3. **Generalized Fermat curves of type** $(3,3)$**.** A generalized Fermat curve of type $(3,3)$ is given by

$$S = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 & = & 0 \\ \lambda x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_4^3 & = & 0 \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \tag{6.1}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - \{0,1\}$.

The Riemann surface S has genus $g = 10$ and the generalized Fermat group $H_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^3$ is generated by the transformations

$$a_1([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]) = [\omega_3 x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4],$$

$$a_2([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]) = [x_1 : \omega_3 x_2 : x_3 : x_4],$$

$$a_3([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]) = [x_1 : x_2 : \omega_3 x_3 : x_4],$$

where $\omega_3 = e^{2\pi i/3}$.

The transformation $a_4^{-1} = a_1 a_2 a_3$ is

$$a_4([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4]) = [x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : \omega_3 x_4].$$

The list of the different subgroups H of H_0, isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_3^2$ with S/H of genus at least one is the following:

$$H_1 = \langle a_1, a_2 a_3^{-1} \rangle,\ H_2 = \langle a_2, a_1 a_3^{-1} \rangle,\ H_3 = \langle a_3, a_2 a_1^{-1} \rangle,\ H_4 = \langle a_4, a_2 a_3^{-1} \rangle,$$

$$H_5 = \langle a_1 a_2, a_1 a_3 \rangle,\ H_6 = \langle a_1 a_2, a_2 a_3 \rangle,\ H_7 = \langle a_2 a_3, a_1 a_3 \rangle.$$

If $j = 1,2,3,4$, then the quotient orbifold S/H_j has signature $(1;3,3,3)$ and the underlying Riemann surface is isomorphic to the elliptic curve

$$y^2 = x^3 - 1.$$

Note that $S/\langle a_j \rangle$ has signature $(1;3,\overset{9}{\ldots},3)$ and its underlying Riemann surface is isomorphic to the generalized Fermat curve of type $(3,2)$ (which has genus one)

$$C : y_1^3 + y_2^3 + y_3^3 = 0.$$

The quotient $S_j = S/H_j$, for $j = 5,6,7$, is a closed Riemann surface of genus two admitting an automorphism η_j of order three so that $S_j/\langle \eta_j \rangle$ has signature $(0;3,3,3,3)$ and whose cone points are ∞, 0, 1 and λ. It follows, from Lemma 6.1, that S_j is isomorphic to

$$E : y^2 = (x^3 - 1)\left(x^3 - \alpha^3\right),$$

where

$$\alpha^3 = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda} + 1}{\sqrt{\lambda} - 1} \right)^2,$$

and that $JE \cong_{isog.} E_1 \times E_2$, where E_1 and E_2 are elliptic curves.

We must note that, for $i \neq j$, the group $H_i H_j$ always contains two elements a_u and a_v, where $u \neq v$. Now, since $S/\langle a_u, a_v \rangle$ has signature $(0;3,\overset{6}{\ldots},3)$, for $u \neq v$, one has that $g_{H_i H_j} = 0$ for $i \neq j$. We may apply Corollary 2.10 to obtain the following.

Theorem 6.2. *Let S be a generalized Fermat curve of type* $(3,3)$*. Then JS is isogenous to the product of elliptic curves.*

6.4. **Generalized Fermat curves of type** $(3,4)$**.** In this case,

$$S = \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 & = & 0 \\ \lambda_1 x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_4^3 & = & 0 \\ \lambda_2 x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_5^3 & = & 0 \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^4, \tag{6.2}$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C} - \{0,1\}$ and $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, has genus $g = 55$ and the generalized Fermat group $H_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^4$ is generated by the transformations

$$a_1([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5]) = [\omega_3 x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5],$$

$$a_2([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5]) = [x_1 : \omega_3 x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5],$$

$$a_3([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5]) = [x_1 : x_2 : \omega_3 x_3 : x_4 : x_5],$$

$$a_4([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5]) = [x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : \omega_3 x_4 : x_5],$$

where $\omega_3 = e^{2\pi i/3}$.

The transformation $a_5^{-1} = a_1a_2a_3a_4$ is

$$a_5([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5]) = [x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : \omega_3 x_5].$$

The list of the different subgroups H of H_0, isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_3^3$ with S/H of genus at least one is the following:

$$H_1 = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3a_4^{-1} \rangle,\ H_2 = \langle a_1, a_3, a_2a_4^{-1} \rangle,\ H_3 = \langle a_1, a_4, a_2a_3^{-1} \rangle,$$

$$H_4 = \langle a_1, a_5, a_2a_3^{-1} \rangle,\ H_5 = \langle a_2, a_3, a_1a_4^{-1} \rangle, H_6 = \langle a_2, a_4, a_1a_3^{-1} \rangle,$$

$$H_7 = \langle a_2, a_5, a_1a_3^{-1} \rangle,\ H_8 = \langle a_3, a_4, a_1a_2^{-1} \rangle,\ H_9 = \langle a_3, a_5, a_1a_2^{-1} \rangle,\ H_{10} = \langle a_4, a_5, a_1a_2^{-1} \rangle,$$

$$L_1 = \langle a_1, a_2a_3, a_2a_4 \rangle,\ L_2 = \langle a_1, a_2a_3, a_2a_5 \rangle,\ L_3 = \langle a_1, a_2a_4, a_2a_5 \rangle,$$

$$L_4 = \langle a_2, a_3a_4, a_3a_5 \rangle,\ L_5 = \langle a_2, a_3a_4, a_3a_1 \rangle,\ L_6 = \langle a_2, a_3a_5, a_3a_1 \rangle,$$

$$L_7 = \langle a_3, a_4a_5, a_4a_1 \rangle,\ L_8 = \langle a_3, a_4a_5, a_4a_2 \rangle,\ L_9 = \langle a_3, a_4a_1, a_4a_2 \rangle,$$

$$L_{10} = \langle a_4, a_5a_1, a_5a_2 \rangle,\ L_{11} = \langle a_4, a_5a_1, a_5a_3 \rangle,\ L_{12} = \langle a_4, a_5a_2, a_5a_3 \rangle,$$

$$L_{13} = \langle a_5, a_1a_2, a_1a_3 \rangle,\ L_{14} = \langle a_5, a_1a_2, a_1a_4 \rangle,\ L_{15} = \langle a_5, a_1a_3, a_1a_4 \rangle,$$

$$R_1 = \langle a_1a_2, a_1a_3, a_1a_4 \rangle,\ R_2 = \langle a_1a_2, a_2a_3, a_2a_4 \rangle,$$

$$R_3 = \langle a_3a_2, a_1a_3, a_3a_4 \rangle,\ R_4 = \langle a_4a_2, a_4a_3, a_1a_4 \rangle,\ R_5 = \langle a_5a_2, a_5a_3, a_5a_4 \rangle.$$

6.4.1. The quotient orbifold S/H_j, for each $j = 1, \ldots, 10$, has signature $(1; 3, \overset{6}{\ldots}, 3)$ whose underlying Riemann surface is isomorphic to the elliptic curve

$$y^2 = x^3 - 1.$$

Since, for every triple $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \{1,2,3,4,5\}$ of pairwise different numbers one has that $S/\langle a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, a_{i_3} \rangle$ has signature $(0; 3, \overset{9}{\ldots}, 3)$, for $i \neq j$ it holds that S/H_iH_j has genus zero.

6.4.2. The quotient orbifold S/L_j has signature $(2; 3, 3, 3)$ and its underlying Riemann surface S_j (of genus two) admits an action of $\mathbb{Z}_3$ with quotient orbifold with signature $(0; 3, 3, 3, 3)$; so Lemma 6.1 states that JS_j is isogenous to the product of two elliptic curves.

It can be checked that $L_iL_j = H_0$ if $i \neq j$, so S/L_iL_j has genus zero. Also, we may see that $L_jH_i = H_0$, for $j = 1, \ldots, 15$ and $i = 1, \ldots, 10$, so S/L_jH_i has also genus zero.

6.4.3. The quotient orbihold S/R_j, in these cases, has signature $(3;-)$. This quotient surface admits a cyclic group of order three, say generated by ρ_j, as a group of automorphisms with quotient being of signature $(0;3,3,3,3,3)$. In fact, this Riemann surface can be described by the algebraic curve

$$y^3 = x^{\alpha_1}(x-1)^{\alpha_2}(x-\lambda_1)^{\alpha_3}(x-\lambda_2)^{\alpha_4},$$

where

$$(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4) = \begin{cases} (1,2,2,2), & j=1; \\ (2,1,1,1), & j=2; \\ (2,2,1,2), & j=3; \\ (2,2,2,1), & j=4; \\ (2,2,2,2), & j=5. \end{cases}$$

and $\rho_j(x,y) = (x, e^{2\pi i/e}y)$.

For any different two of these groups, say R_i and R_j one has that $R_iR_j = H_0$, so S/R_iR_j has genus zero. Similarly, S/H_iR_j and S/L_iR_j have genus zero.

Remark 6.3. (1) We explain the difference between the above groups L_j above. First, once we have made a choice of one of the points inside $\{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$, we may consider a closed Riemann surface of genus two admitting an automorphism of order three with quotient being the sphere and whose branch values are the other four fixed points. The choice of a point as above is equivalent to make a choice in the set $\{a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4,a_5\}$. For simplicity, let us assume the chosen point is ∞, equivalently, the element a_1. So the Riemann surface of genus two we look for must be represented by a curve of the form

$$y^3 = x^{\alpha_1}(x-1)^{\alpha_2}(x-\lambda_1)^{\alpha_3}(x-\lambda_2)^{\alpha_4},$$

where $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4 \in \{1,2\}$ and $\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$ is congruent to 0 module 3. For this two happen, two of these values must be equal to 1 and the other two equal to 2. This provides 6 possible ordered tuples $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4)$. But, the tuples $(1,1,2,2)$ and $(2,2,1,1)$ produce isomorphic curves; similarly the pairs of tuples $(1,2,1,2)$ and $(2,1,2,1)$, the pairs of tuples $(1,2,2,1)$ and $(2,1,1,2)$. So, we only have 3 cases to consider, these provided by the tuples $(1,2,2,1)$, $(1,2,1,2)$ and $(1,1,2,2)$. These three cases correspond, respectively, to the groups L_1, L_2 and L_3.

(2) Let us consider the following subgroups of H_0, each one isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_3^2$,

$$U_1 = \langle a_1, a_2a_3\rangle = \langle a_1, a_4a_5\rangle,\; U_2 = \langle a_1, a_2a_4\rangle = \langle a_1, a_3a_5\rangle,\; U_3 = \langle a_1, a_2a_5\rangle = \langle a_1, a_3a_4\rangle,$$

$$U_4 = \langle a_2, a_1a_3\rangle = \langle a_2, a_4a_5\rangle,\; U_5 = \langle a_2, a_1a_4\rangle = \langle a_2, a_3a_5\rangle,\; U_6 = \langle a_2, a_1a_5\rangle = \langle a_2, a_3a_4\rangle,$$

$$U_7 = \langle a_3, a_1a_2\rangle = \langle a_3, a_4a_5\rangle,\; U_8 = \langle a_3, a_1a_4\rangle = \langle a_3, a_2a_5\rangle,\; U_9 = \langle a_3, a_1a_5\rangle = \langle a_3, a_2a_4\rangle,$$

$$U_{10} = \langle a_4, a_1a_2\rangle = \langle a_4, a_3a_5\rangle,\; U_{11} = \langle a_4, a_1a_3\rangle = \langle a_4, a_2a_5\rangle,\; U_{12} = \langle a_4, a_1a_5\rangle = \langle a_4, a_2a_3\rangle,$$

$$U_{13} = \langle a_5, a_1a_2\rangle = \langle a_5, a_3a_4\rangle,\; U_{14} = \langle a_5, a_1a_3\rangle = \langle a_5, a_2a_4\rangle,\; U_{15} = \langle a_5, a_1a_4\rangle = \langle a_5, a_2a_3\rangle.$$

The quotient orbifold S/U_j, for each $j = 1,\ldots,15$, has signature $(4; 3, \overset{9}{\ldots}, 3)$. The underlying Riemann surface of genus four, say S_j, admits a group $K_j \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^2$ of conformal automorphisms with quotient orbifold of signature $(0;3,3,3,3)$. It is well known that K_j can be generated by two elements of order three, say b_1 and b_2, each acting with fixed points, so that the only non-trivial elements acting with fixed points are b_1, b_1^2, b_2 and b_2^2 (for instance, by using Theorem 2.3). Let $L_{j,1} = \langle b_1b_2\rangle$ and $L_{j,2} = \langle b_1b_2^2\rangle$. Then, for $i=1,2$, $S_j/L_{j,i}$ has signature $(2;-)$ and $L_{j,1}L_{j,2} = K_j$. It follows from Corollary 2.10 that $JS_j \cong_{isog.} JS/L_{j,1} \times JS/L_{j,2}$. But $S/L_{j,i}$ is a genus two Riemann surface admitting a conformal automorphism of order three acting with four fixed points, so Lemma 6.1 states that $JS/L_{j,i}$ is isogenous to the product of two elliptic curves. As a consequence, JS_j is isogenous to the product of four elliptic curves. If $i_1 \in \{1,2,3\}$, $i_2 \in \{4,5,6\}$, $i_3 \in \{7,8,9\}$, $i_4 \in \{10,11,12\}$, $i_5 \in \{13,14,15\}$, then for $u,v \in \{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4\}$, $u \neq v$, one has that U_uU_v contains at least three of the elements a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 and a_5. So, S/U_uU_v has genus zero. Unfortunately, if u, v belong to the same triple ($\{1,2,3\}$, $\{4,5,6\}$, $\{7,8,9\}$, $\{10,11,12\}$ or $\{13,14,15\}$), then $U_uU_v \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^3$ is one of the groups L_j above, so the quotient S/U_uU_v has positive genus.

As the total sum for the genera of all orbifolds is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{10} \underbrace{g(S/H_j)}_{1} + \sum_{j=1}^{15} \underbrace{g(S/L_j)}_{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{5} \underbrace{g(S/R_j)}_{3} = 55,$$

Corollary 2.10 states that

Theorem 6.4. *If S is a generalized Fermat curve of type $(3,4)$, then*

$$JS \cong_{isog.} \prod_{j=1}^{10} JS_{H_j} \prod_{j=1}^{15} JS_{L_j} \prod_{j=1}^{5} JS_{R_j}.$$

Moreover, each JS_{H_j} is an elliptic curve and each JS_{L_j} is isogenous to the product of two elliptic curves.

6.5. **Elliptic curves decomposition?** Can we find parameters λ_1 and λ_2 so that each of the 3-dimensional Jacobians JS_{R_j} is isogenous to the product of three elliptic curves?

Let us assume there is a Möbius transformation T sending $\{1, \omega_5, \omega_5^2, \omega_5^3, \omega_5^4\}$ into $\{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$, where $\omega_5 = e^{2\pi i/5}$. For instance, if we take $T(x) = (x-\omega_5^4)(1-\omega_5)/(x-\omega_5)(1-\omega_5^4)$, then $T(1) = 1$, $T(\omega_5) = \infty$, $T(\omega_5^4) = 0$. So, in this case, we may take

$$\lambda_1 = T(\omega_5^2) = -\omega_5/(1+\omega_5^2)$$
$$\lambda_2 = T(\omega_5^4) = -\omega_5^2/(1+\omega_5^2)(1+\omega_5)^2.$$

With the above parameters one may check that the five genus three Riemann surfaces S_{R_j} are isomorphic to

$$y^3 = (x-1)^2(x-\omega_5)(x-\omega_5^2)(x-\omega_5^3)(x-\omega_5^4),$$

and the oder three automorphism ρ_j is given by $\rho(x,y) = \left(x, e^{2\pi i/e}y\right)$. Now, Theorem 6.4 asserts that

$$JS \cong_{isog.} \prod_{j=1}^{10} JS_{H_j} \prod_{j=1}^{15} JS_{L_j} \times JS_{R_1}^{5}.$$

Also, S now admits a conformal involution given by

$$A([x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5]) = \left[x_3 : -x_4 : (1-\lambda_1)^{1/3}x_1 : (\lambda_1 - 1)^{1/3}x_2 : \left(\frac{1-\lambda_1}{1-\lambda_2}\right)^{1/3} x_5\right].$$

This involution induces a conformal involution τ in the genus three Riemann surface $X = S/R_1$, with quotient $X/\langle\tau\rangle$ of signature $(1; 2, 2, 2, 2)$. Also, one of the fixed points of τ is also a fixed point of the automorphism of order three ρ_1 obtained previously; so $\rho\tau$ is an automorphism of order six and $X/\langle\rho\tau\rangle$ has signature $(0; 2, 3, 3, 6)$. In the above algebraic model,

$$\tau(x,y) = \left(\frac{1}{x}, \frac{y}{x^2}\right).$$

In particular, S/R_j can also be described by the curve

$$C : y^6 = x^3(x-1)^2(x-\mu)^2, \quad \mu = \frac{(\omega_5^2+1)^2}{(\omega_5+1)^4},$$

and the automorphism of order six is given by

$$\rho\tau(x,y) = \left(x, e^{\pi i/3}y\right).$$

Question: Is JC isogenous to the product of three elliptic curves?

7. A CONJECTURAL PICTURE

Theorem 4.4 states a decomposition for the Jacobian variety of a generalized Fermat curve of type (p,n), where p is a prime integer. What is going on with the case p not a prime?

In the following three examples, in the case of classical Fermat curves, we may see that Theorem 4.4 does not hold in general if p is not a prime integer. After these examples we propose a conjectural decomposition result.

Example 7.1 (Fermat curve F_4)**.** In this example we observe that Theorem 4.4 holds for a generalized Fermat curve of type $(4,2)$, that is, when $S = F_4 = \{x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is the classical Fermat curve of genus 3. If $H < H_0 = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle$ is so that S/H has positive genus, then S/H has signature $(1;2,2)$ and $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$. The collection of such subgroups H is the following:

$$H_1 = \langle a_1 a_2^2 \rangle,\; H_2 = \langle a_2 a_1^2 \rangle,\; H_3 = \langle a_3 a_2^2 \rangle.$$

The underlying Riemann surface S_{H_j} of genus one admits a conformal automorphism of order four, say τ_j, with $S_{H_j}/\langle \tau_j \rangle$ with signature $(0;2,4,4)$. In particular, all of them are isomorphic to the elliptic curve

$$E : y^2 = x^4 - 1.$$

Since $H_i H_j = H_0$, for $i \neq j$, we may apply Corollary 2.10 to obtain that

$$JF_4 \cong_{isog.} E^3.$$

Example 7.2 (Fermat curve F_6)**.** In this example we observe that Theorem 4.4 does not hold for a generalized Fermat curve of type $(6,2)$, that is, when $S = F_6 = \{x_1^6 + x_2^6 + x_3^6 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is the classical Fermat curve of genus 10. If $H < H_0 = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle$ is so that S/H has positive genus, then either:

1. S/H has signature $(1;2,2,2)$, $H = H_1 = \langle a_1 a_2^{-1}, a_1^3 \rangle$ and S_H is given by the elliptic curve $E : y^2 = x^3 - 1$;
2. S/H has signature $(1;2,2,3,3,3)$, S_H is also given by E, and $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$ is any of the followings:
$$H_2 = \langle a_1^3, a_2^2 \rangle,\; H_3 = \langle a_1^3, a_3^2 \rangle,\; H_4 = \langle a_1^2, a_2^3 \rangle,\; H_5 = \langle a_1^2, a_3^3 \rangle,\; H_6 = \langle a_2^3, a_3^2 \rangle,\; H_7 = \langle a_2^2, a_3^3 \rangle;$$
3. S/H has signature $(2;2,2)$, S_H is given by $F : y^2 = x^6 - 1$, and $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$ is any of the followings:
$$H_8 = \langle a_1 a_2^{-1} \rangle,\; H_9 = \langle a_1 a_3^{-1} \rangle,\; H_{10} = \langle a_2 a_3^{-1} \rangle.$$

It can be checked that in this case Theorem 4.4 fails using the subgroups H_1,..., H_{10}. Anyway, in this case we have that F_6 admits the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_3 = \langle \tau_8, \tau_9, \tau_{10} \rangle$ as a group of conformal automorphisms, where τ_8 is involution that conjugates a_1 to a_2 and fixes a_3, τ_9 is involution that conjugates a_1 to a_3 and fixes a_2 and τ_{10} is involution that conjugates a_2 to a_3 and fixes a_1. The group H_0 is normalized by $\mathfrak{S}_3$ and, in particular, the three subgroups H_8, H_9 and H_{10} are permuted by conjugation of elements in $\mathfrak{S}_3$. The stabilizer, in $\mathfrak{S}_3$, of H_j is $\langle \tau_j \rangle$, for $j = 8, 9, 10$. If we set $K_8 = \langle H_8, \tau_8 \rangle$, $K_9 = \langle H_9, \tau_9 \rangle$ and $K_{10} = \langle H_{10}, \tau_{10} \rangle$, then S/K_j is a genus one Riemann surface, the three of them isomorphic to E. It follows (using the groups H_1,..., H_7, K_8, K_9 and K_{10} in Kani-Rosen theorem) that

$$JF_6 \cong_{isog.} E^{10}.$$

The above example was also been obtained by Beauville in [1].

Example 7.3 (Fermat curve F_8)**.** Let us consider the generalized Fermat curve of type $(8, 2)$, that is, when $S = F_8 = \{x_1^8 + x_2^8 + x_3^8 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is the classical Fermat curve of genus 21. If $H < H_0 = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle$ is so that S/H has positive genus and $H_0/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_8$, then

(1) S/H has signature $(2; 4, 4, 4, 4)$ and H is one of the following groups:

$$H_1 = \langle a_1^{-2}, a_1 a_2^4 \rangle, \quad H_2 = \langle a_2^{-2}, a_1^4 a_2^{-1} \rangle;$$

(2) S/H has signature $(3; 2, 2)$ and H is any of the following:

$$H_3 = \langle a_1^{-1} a_2 \rangle, \ H_4 = \langle a_1^2 a_2^{-1} \rangle, \ H_5 = \langle a_1 a_2^{-2} \rangle,$$

$$H_6 = \langle a_1^{-3} a_2^{-1} \rangle, \ H_7 = \langle a_1^{-3} a_2 \rangle, \ H_8 = \langle a_1 a_2^2 \rangle, \ H_9 = \langle a_1^2 a_2 \rangle.$$

If $j \in \{3, \dots, 9\}$, the quotient F_8/H_j admits the group $H_0/H_j \cong \mathbb{Z}_8$ as group of conformal automorphisms with quotient having signature $(0; 4, 8, 8)$. It is known that such genus three Riemann surface is given by the hyperelliptic curve

$$E : y^2 = x^8 - 1.$$

The groups H_3, H_4, H_5, H_6, H_7, H_8 and H_9 satisfy the conditions of Corollary 2.10; so

$$JF_8 \cong_{isog.} JE^7.$$

In [9] (case $\alpha = 0$ in the proof of Theorem 5 for case $D_8 \times C_2$) it was proved that $JE \cong_{isog.} E_1^2 \times E_2$, where

$$E_1 : y^2 = x^4 + 2, \quad E_2 : v^2 = u^4 + 1.$$

Since $E_1 \cong E_2$, by $(x, y) = (\sqrt[4]{2}u, \sqrt{2}v)$,

$$JF_8 \cong_{isog.} E_2^{21}.$$

The following is a conjectural picture for the general case (see Lemma 4.2).

Conjecture 7.4. *Let $k, n \geq 2$ be integers and set $r_2 = 4$ and $r_k = 3$, for $k \geq 3$. We also assume that $r_k \leq n+1$. For each $r \in \{r_k, \dots, n+1\}$ we consider the following sets.*

$$\mathcal{U}_{r,k} = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) : \alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}_k - \{0\}, \quad \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r \equiv 0 \mod (k)\}/\mathbb{Z}_k^*,$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_k^*$ denotes the units of $\mathbb{Z}_k$ and the action of $u \in \mathbb{Z}_k^*$ is given by

$$u \cdot (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) = (u\alpha_1, \dots, u\alpha_r),$$

and A_r is a maximal set of r-tuples $(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}\}^r$, $a_i \neq a_j$, with the property that if $(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ and $(b_1, \dots, b_r)$ are different elements of A_r, then there is no a Möbius transformation $T \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ keeping invariant the set $\{\infty, 0, 1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}\}$ sending the set $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$ onto $\{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$.

For each $a = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in A_r$ and each $\alpha = [(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)] \in \mathcal{U}_{r,k}$ we consider an irreducible component $C_{(a,\alpha)}$ of the (possible reduced) algebraic curve

$$E_{(a,\alpha)} : y^k = \prod_{j=1}^{r} (x - a_j)^{\alpha_j}$$

and let $A_{(a,\alpha)} = JC_{(a,\alpha)}$.

Then

$$JC^k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-2}) \cong_{isog.} \prod_{a \in A_r, \alpha \in \mathcal{U}_{r,k}, r_k \leq r \leq n+1} A_{(a,\alpha)}.$$

References

[1] A. Beauville. Some surfaces with maximal Picard number. http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.3402.

[2] L. Beshaj, T. Shaska and C. Shor. On Jacobians of curves with superelliptic components. arXiv: 1310.7241v3

[3] A. Carocca, V. González, R.A. Hidalgo and R. Rodríguez. Generalized Humbert Curves. *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **64**, No. 1 (2008), 165-192.

[4] T. Ekedahl and J.-P. Serre. Exemples de courbes algebriques a jacobienne completement decomposable. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Pari Ser. I Math.* **317** No. 5 (1993), 509-513.

[5] G. Gonzalez, R. A. Hidalgo and M. Leyton. Generalized Fermat Curves. *Journal of Algebra* **321** (2009), 1643-1660.

[6] R. A. Hidalgo, A. Kontogeorgis, M. Leyton-Älvarez and P. Paramantzoglou. Automorphisms of the Generalized Fermat curves. http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.3063

[7] E. Kani and M. Rosen. Idempotent relations and factors of Jacobians. *Math. Ann.* **284** No. 2 (1989), 307-327.

[8] R. Nakajima. On splitting of certain Jacobian varieties. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **47** No. 2 (2007), 391-415.

[9] J. Paulhus. Elliptic factors in Jacobians of low genus curves. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 2007.

[10] J. Paulhus. Decomposing Jacobians of curves with extra automorphisms. *Acta Arithmetica* **132** (2008), 231-244.

[11] J. Paulhus. Elliptic factors in Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves with certain automorphism groups. In Proceedings of the Tenth Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium. The Open Book SEries **1** (20013), 487-505.

[12] H. Poincaré. Sur les fonctions abéliennes. *Amer. J. Math.* **8** (1886), 289–342.

[13] G. Riera and R. E. Rodríguez. Uniformization of Surfaces of Genus Two with Automorphisms. *Math. Ann.* **282** (1988), 51–67.

[14] R. E. Rodríguez. Abelian Varieties and Group Actions. In: Riemann and Klein Surfaces, Automorphisms, Symmetries and Moduli Spaces. *Contemporary Mathematics* **629** (2014), 299–313.

[15] D. Rohrlich. Periods of the Fermat curve. Appendix to B. Gross, On the periods of abelian integrals and a formula of Chowla and Selberg. *Inventiones Math.* **45** (1978), 193-211.

[16] T. Shaska. Families of genus two curves with many elliptic subcovers. arXiv: 109.0434.

[17] T. Yamauchi. On curves with split jacobians. *Communications in Algebra* **36** (2008), 1419-1425.

[18] T. Yamauchi. On $\mathbb{Q}$-simple factor of the Jacobian of modular curves. *Yokohama J. of Math.* **53** (2007), 149-160.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile
E-mail address: `mariela.carvacho@usm.cl`

Departamento de Matemática, Universidad de la Frontera, Casilla 54-D, Temuco, Chile
E-mail address: `ruben.hidalgo@ufrontera.cl, saul.quispe@ufrontera.cl`